

USFS Plumas National Forest

Comments submitted by the OHMVR Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHV Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

- #1a - The dates should match the reference document in 1c.
- #2 – Maps could not be found on applicant's website. Applicant may want to provide additional details.
- #4 – Response does not answer: "the cost of the land manager's total OHV program".
- #5 – Selection is inconsistent with records within Division. Applicant may want to verify.
- #8(d) - Narrative does not support response to items 8a through d. Applicant may want to provide additional details.
- #9 – Maps were not found on referenced website.
- #11b – A reference document is required. Applicant may want to provide reference document.

Ground Operations	G09-02-13-G01
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No comment 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #1 – Applicant may want to provide additional details and hourly rates on staff costs. • #3 – Applicant may want to provide additional details and breakdown on line item costs, may want to also use a different UOM. • #4 – Costs appear excessive. Applicant may want to provide additional details. • #5 – Applicant may want to provide additional cost details. • #6 – FPO Training does not appear to be directly related to the activities identified in the project description. Applicant may want to provide additional 	

details.
Evaluation Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Narrative does not support selection with regard to cultural sites. Applicant may want to provide additional details. Additionally, applicant may want to verify that ‘Additional damage to Facilities’ can be selected. • #4 – Narrative does not support selection with regard to ‘Conference Calls’. Applicant may want to provide additional details. • #6 – Narrative does not support selection with regard to water quality, sanitary facilities, or site design. Applicant may want to provide additional details. • #8 – Narrative does not support selection with regard to project scope. Applicant may want to provide additional details.

Planning – Red Legged Frog Stream Monitoring	G09-02-13-P02
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #C – Posting of signs is not an eligible activity under a Planning project. Applicant may want to verify and adjust as necessary. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #3 – Sign costs are not an eligible cost for a planning grant. Applicant may want to verify and adjust as necessary. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Narrative does not support selection. Applicant may want to provide additional details. • #4 – Applicant may want to identify the publicly noticed meetings in the explanation. Applicant may want to provide additional details. • #7 – Narrative does not support selection. Applicant may want to additional details. 	

Restoration – Forest Wide Trail	G09-02-13-R02
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #F – Section should be blank, this section applies only to scientific and cultural studies. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Applicant may want to provide additional details and breakdown of line item costs. Project narrative does not mention contracts. 	
Evaluation Criteria	

- #2 – Narrative does not support selection with regard archeological and historical resources. Applicant may want to verify and provide additional details.
- #3 – A reference document is required. Applicant may want to provide a reference document.
- #7 – Narrative does not support selection with regard to public noticed meetings. Applicant may want to verify and/or provide additional details.
- #9 – Section should be blank, this section applies only to scientific and cultural studies.

Restoration – Granite Basin	G09-02-13-R04
Project Description	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #F – Section should be blank, this section applies only to scientific and cultural studies. 	
Project Cost Estimate	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #1 – Staff (Other – Forester and Fire/Fuels Staff) – Costs do not appear to be directly related to the activities identified in the project description and/or appear excessive. Applicant may want to provide further information. • #3 – Other (Archaeology) - Costs do not appear to be directly related to the activities identified in the project description and/or appear excessive. Applicant may want to provide additional details. 	
Evaluation Criteria	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #2 – Narrative does not support selection. Applicant may want to provide additional details. • #3 – A reference document is required. Applicant may want to provide a reference document. • #4 – Narrative does not support selection. Applicant may want to provide additional details. • #6 – A reference document is required. Applicant may want to provide a reference document. • #7 – Narrative does not support selection. Applicant may want to provide additional details. • #9 – Section should be blank, this section applies only to scientific and cultural studies. 	